EXHIBIT 3

AMY BARTOLETTI vs CITIGROUP INC. Page 89 Page 91 D. BROWNSTEIN D. BROWNSTEIN 2 their capabilities were at the time. 2 A. I have seen document that are --Q. Well, did you ever work with Amy generally identical to this, but not specific 3 prior to becoming co-head of the public 4 to Lisa. finance department? 5 Q. Right. 5 A. Yes, the selling component 6 A. I had experiences working with 6 Amy, yes, on derivatives transactions an wouldn't be an estimate, not accurate. 7 example. Q. And when is that selling component 8 8 Q. And how about Mr. Koessel, did you -- is that selling component ever confirmed or 9 9 actual? 10 ever work on any transactions with him before 10 11 A. I don't know. 11 you became co-head with the public finance Q. Do you know who inputs the 12 department? 12 13 A. Again, with respect to derivatives information of these types of documents? A. I don't. 14 transactions, ves. 14 Q. So you didn't have any experience Do you know where the information 15 15 16 contained in these types of documents comes with Amy in regards to a management 16 capabilities, did you? 17 from? 17 18 MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the 18 Α. I don't. 19 Were you involved in the decision 19 Q. 20 to lay off Amy Bartoletti? A. I very quickly during the process 20 21 of the RIF and putting them in their positions 21 Α. Yes. learned that neither Amy nor Mike had the 22 Q. How so? capacity or capability to run that business. 23 A. I believe I was primarily 23 24 responsible for that decision. 24 Q. Well, when did you start managing 25 the housing group? 25 Q. And why were you primarily Page 92 Page 90 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 1 D. BROWNSTEIN responsible for that decision? 2 A. As soon as we laid off Nick Fluehr 3 A. Because after we laid off Nick in the first RIF or the second RIF. I don't Fluehr who ran the housing group in one of the 4 know which one he was in. earlier RIFs, I took responsibility for the 5 Q. How long did it take you to find housing group directly. 6 out? 6 Q. Why? 7 7 A. A few days. Q. And what did you base that on? 8 A. Because we had no one who was 8 prepared at that point to really run every A. I am a professional, my job is to 9 10 aspect of that business. 10 work closely with people, learn how they work, learn what their capabilities are and learn Q. Wasn't Amy Bartoletti named the 11 12 where they need a guidance and assistance. 12 co-head of that group after Nick Fluehr's 13 And I can do that very quickly. 13 departure? Q. Do you know who managed the group A. She was named to be, she and Mike 14 14

15

16

17

18

20

23

- 15 Koessel were named as co-heads of that group, 16 but I was supervising them day to day. Q. Well, you were supervising
- 17 18 everyone?
- 19 A. I was managing them as well day to 20 day.
- 21 Q. And why did they need managing?
- 22 They didn't have the experience to 23 take on those responsibilities.
- Q. How do you know? 24
- 25 I knew both of them and knew what

when Nick Fluehr was not in the office?

MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form.

A. Nick Fluehr managed the group. Whether other people had responsibilities under Nick, I don't know. But Nick managed

21 the group. 22 Q. And when you say "manage," what do

you mean by that?

A. He was responsible for the 24 25 decisions on what people would work on day to



August 30, 2012 93-96

| HIVI | T DARTOLETTI VS CITIOROUP INC. | | | 30-30 |
|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------|----------------------|---|
| | Page 93 | | | Page 95 |
| 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN | 1 | _ | D. BROWNSTEIN |
| 2 | day, what each of them would do, how they | 2 | Q. | Who did you help? |
| 3 | would get business. Those are the normal | 3 | Α. | Amy and Mike. |
| 4 | functions of a group head. | 4 | Q. | Did you assist them? |
| 5 | Q. Do you consider getting business | 5 | Α. | I was their manager, sir. |
| 6 | managing the group? | 6 | Q. | Who set the strategy? |
| 7 | MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the | 7 | Α. | I did. |
| 8 | form. | 8 | Q. | Did you help them or did you set |
| 9 | Q. Let say me it a different way. | 9 | it? | |
| 10 | What does getting business have to do with | 10 | Α. | I never do anything in a vacuum. |
| 11 | managing the group? | 11 | | with people jointly to get them |
| 12 | A. We're in the business of getting | 12 | | table with an approach. |
| 13 | hired to help clients do underwritings of bond | 13 | Q. | |
| 14 | financings. The manager of the group has to | 14 | your he | |
| 15 | set strategy on how best to do that. | 15 | A. | They needed my help. It was my |
| 16 | Q. After Nick Fluehr was laid off, do | 16 | | ination, they needed my help. |
| 17 | you know when Nick Fluehr was laid off? | 17 | Q. | And did your strategy help them |
| 18 | A. I don't. | 18 | get bus | |
| | | | _ | Yes. |
| 19 | Q. Do you know if it was in June | 19 | Α. | |
| 20 | of 2008? | 20 | Q. | When? |
| 21 | A. I don't. | 21 | Α. | I am sorry? |
| 22 | Q. Once you started managing the | 22 | Q. | When? |
| 23 | housing group, did you get any business for | 23 | Α. | When? |
| 24 | it? | 24 | | Yes. |
| 25 | A. No. | 25 | Α. | How my strategy helped them get |
| | Page 94 | _ | | Page 96 |
| 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN | 1 | | D. BROWNSTEIN |
| 2 | Q. Why did you believe that Amy do | 2 | | was to have them focus on a specific |
| 3 | you think Amy was capable of assigning | 3 | | pase. By doing that, they were able |
| 4 | personnel to a transaction? | 4 | | re focused and therefore, given the |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | - | of staff, be more effective at what |
| 6 | Q. And do you think Amy was capable | 6 | | That's how it helped them. |
| 7 | of determining people's role on a transaction? | 7 | | When did the first transaction |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | come in a | after Nick Fluehr was laid off as a |
| 9 | Q. Do you think Amy was capable of | 9 | result of | your strategy? |
| 10 | getting business? | 10 | Α. | You're not fully understanding |
| 11 | A. I don't know. | 11 | what I ar | m saying. |
| 12 | Q. And so why did you think Amy was | 12 | Q. | Explain it to me then. |
| 13 | not capable of managing the group? | 13 | A. | The challenge is making sure an |
| 14 | A. What you have asked me if Amy was | 14 | employe | e doesn't work on more things at once |
| 15 | capable of doing, are not the things I said a | 15 | | y can, so that they can be focused and |
| 16 | manager needs to be able to do. | 16 | | e through that focus, get business. |
| 17 | Q. So what does a manager need to be | 17 | | s the challenge that both Amy and Mike |
| 18 | able to do? | 18 | | I was there to guide them through. |
| | and to do : | 19 | | Do you know if that strategy was |
| | MR TURNRULL Objection Asked | | ×. | _ = , = a , a. e |
| 19 | MR. TURNBULL: Objection. Asked | 1 | in place | before Nick Fluehr was laid off? |
| 19 20 | and answered. He said the manager sets | 20 | • | before Nick Fluehr was laid off? |
| 19 20 21 | and answered. He said the manager sets strategy on how to best to get hired by | 20 21 | A. | I don't. |
| 19 20 21 22 | and answered. He said the manager sets strategy on how to best to get hired by clients. | 20 21 22 | A. Q. | I don't. So what makes you think that they |
| 19 20 21 22 23 | and answered. He said the manager sets strategy on how to best to get hired by clients. Q. Did you set strategy when you were | 20 21 22 23 | A. Q. actually | I don't. So what makes you think that they needed your help? |
| 19 20 21 22 23 24 | and answered. He said the manager sets strategy on how to best to get hired by clients. Q. Did you set strategy when you were a manager of the housing group? | 20 21 22 23 24 | A. Q. actually | I don't. So what makes you think that they needed your help? MR. TURNBULL: Objection. Asked |
| 19 20 21 22 23 | and answered. He said the manager sets strategy on how to best to get hired by clients. Q. Did you set strategy when you were a manager of the housing group? | 20 21 22 23 | A. Q. actually | I don't. So what makes you think that they needed your help? |



August 30, 2012 97–100

| | MAI | Y BARTOLET IT VS CITIGROUP INC. | | 97-100 |
|-----|--|---|--|--|
| Γ | | Page 97 | | Page 99 |
| | 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN | 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN |
| | 2 | A. I already answered that question. | 2 | being head? |
| - | 3 | Q. Why don't you answer it again for | 3 | A. Because that was the thought |
| ı | 4 | me. | 4 | initially when we let Nick go. |
| | 5 | A. I am a professional. | 5 | Q. And what do you mean that she |
| | 6 | Q. That's your answer, that just you | 6 | didn't embrace it? |
| | 7 | are professional? | 7 | A. She said she'd think about it. |
| | | | 8 | She was considering whether she should just |
| | 8 | MR. TURNBULL: Objection. He | | |
| | 9 | already answered the question. | 9 | leave. |
| | 10 | MR. DATGOO: Do you want to read | 10 | Q. You think that was a bad business |
| | 11 | back the answer. | 11 | decision? |
| | 12 | (Record read.) | 12 | A. From my perspective, yes. |
| | 13 | Q. So what did you learn quickly | 13 | Q. Why did you talk to her about |
| | 14 | about Amy's management ability? | 14 | becoming head of the group after Nick left? |
| | 15 | A. What I learned is her inability to | 15 | A. We needed someone to take over |
| | 16 | make good business decisions. | 16 | responsibility for the group. |
| | 17 | Q. And you learned this in a few | 17 | Q. And you approached Amy? |
| | 18 | days? | 18 | A. Yes. |
| | 19 | A. Yes. | 19 | Q. Did Amy tell you that she was |
| | 20 | Q. And did she make any bad business | 20 | thinking about leaving Citi? |
| | 21 | decisions in a few days? | 21 | A. When? |
| | 22 | A. I would argue yes. | 22 | Q. When you spoke to her about |
| | 23 | Q. And in that few day span, what bad | 23 | becoming head of the group. |
| | 24 | business decisions did she make? | 24 | A. Well, I know that she had thought |
| | 25 | A. She had people focusing on more | 25 | about leaving Citi a couple of times. So |
| - | | Page 98 | - | Page 100 |
| | | rage 50 | 1 | |
| - 1 | 1 | D BROWNSTEIN | 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN |
| | 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN things than they could legitimately focus on | 1 2 | D. BROWNSTEIN |
| | 2 | things than they could legitimately focus on | 2 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was |
| | 2 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. | 2 3 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about |
| | 2 3 4 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? | 2 3 4 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. |
| | 2 3 4 5 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests | 2 3 4 5 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would | 2 3 4 5 6 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did she have? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did she have? A. I don't recall a specific one. I | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. She would be saying her |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did she have? A. I don't recall a specific one. I don't have a name. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. She would be saying her recollection of the facts and I would be |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did she have? A. I don't recall a specific one. I don't have a name. Q. And did she make any other bad | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. She would be saying her recollection of the facts and I would be saying mine. |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did she have? A. I don't recall a specific one. I don't have a name. Q. And did she make any other bad business decisions in this few day span? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. She would be saying her recollection of the facts and I would be saying mine. Q. Why did you approach Amy to be |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did she have? A. I don't recall a specific one. I don't have a name. Q. And did she make any other bad business decisions in this few day span? A. I would argue the first one was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. She would be saying her recollection of the facts and I would be saying mine. Q. Why did you approach Amy to be head and not Mr. Koessel? |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did she have? A. I don't recall a specific one. I don't have a name. Q. And did she make any other bad business decisions in this few day span? A. I would argue the first one was not embracing taking on the responsibility. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. She would be saying her recollection of the facts and I would be saying mine. Q. Why did you approach Amy to be head and not Mr. Koessel? A. Because I didn't think Mike would |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did she have? A. I don't recall a specific one. I don't have a name. Q. And did she make any other bad business decisions in this few day span? A. I would argue the first one was not embracing taking on the responsibility. Q. Of what? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. She would be saying her recollection of the facts and I would be saying mine. Q. Why did you approach Amy to be head and not Mr. Koessel? A. Because I didn't think Mike would be interested in that position. |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did she have? A. I don't recall a specific one. I don't have a name. Q. And did she make any other bad business decisions in this few day span? A. I would argue the first one was not embracing taking on the responsibility. Q. Of what? A. Of being head of the housing | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. She would be saying her recollection of the facts and I would be saying mine. Q. Why did you approach Amy to be head and not Mr. Koessel? A. Because I didn't think Mike would be interested in that position. Q. Would you think that would be a |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did she have? A. I don't recall a specific one. I don't have a name. Q. And did she make any other bad business decisions in this few day span? A. I would argue the first one was not embracing taking on the responsibility. Q. Of what? A. Of being head of the housing group. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. She would be saying her recollection of the facts and I would be saying mine. Q. Why did you approach Amy to be head and not Mr. Koessel? A. Because I didn't think Mike would be interested in that position. Q. Would you think that would be a bad business decision on his part? |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did she have? A. I don't recall a specific one. I don't have a name. Q. And did she make any other bad business decisions in this few day span? A. I would argue the first one was not embracing taking on the responsibility. Q. Of what? A. Of being head of the housing group. Q. Head or co-head? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. She would be saying her recollection of the facts and I would be saying mine. Q. Why did you approach Amy to be head and not Mr. Koessel? A. Because I didn't think Mike would be interested in that position. Q. Would you think that would be a bad business decision on his part? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did she have? A. I don't recall a specific one. I don't have a name. Q. And did she make any other bad business decisions in this few day span? A. I would argue the first one was not embracing taking on the responsibility. Q. Of what? A. Of being head of the housing group. Q. Head or co-head? A. Well, initially as you know what | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | D. BROWNSTEIN after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. She would be saying her recollection of the facts and I would be saying mine. Q. Why did you approach Amy to be head and not Mr. Koessel? A. Because I didn't think Mike would be interested in that position. Q. Would you think that would be a bad business decision on his part? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 22 12 22 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did she have? A. I don't recall a specific one. I don't have a name. Q. And did she make any other bad business decisions in this few day span? A. I would argue the first one was not embracing taking on the responsibility. Q. Of what? A. Of being head of the housing group. Q. Head or co-head? A. Well, initially as you know what we talked to her about was being head. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. She would be saying her recollection of the facts and I would be saying mine. Q. Why did you approach Amy to be head and not Mr. Koessel? A. Because I didn't think Mike would be interested in that position. Q. Would you think that would be a bad business decision on his part? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. No. |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 22 23 23 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did she have? A. I don't recall a specific one. I don't have a name. Q. And did she make any other bad business decisions in this few day span? A. I would argue the first one was not embracing taking on the responsibility. Q. Of what? A. Of being head of the housing group. Q. Head or co-head? A. Well, initially as you know what we talked to her about was being head. Q. Who is "we"? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. She would be saying her recollection of the facts and I would be saying mine. Q. Why did you approach Amy to be head and not Mr. Koessel? A. Because I didn't think Mike would be interested in that position. Q. Would you think that would be a bad business decision on his part? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. No. Q. Why would it be bad business |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 6 17 18 19 20 22 23 24 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did she have? A. I don't recall a specific one. I don't have a name. Q. And did she make any other bad business decisions in this few day span? A. I would argue the first one was not embracing taking on the responsibility. Q. Of what? A. Of being head of the housing group. Q. Head or co-head? A. Well, initially as you know what we talked to her about was being head. Q. Who is "we"? A. Me. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. She would be saying her recollection of the facts and I would be saying mine. Q. Why did you approach Amy to be head and not Mr. Koessel? A. Because I didn't think Mike would be interested in that position. Q. Would you think that would be a bad business decision on his part? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. No. Q. Why would it be bad business decision for Amy if she wasn't interested in |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 22 23 23 | things than they could legitimately focus on and be effective. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Having people respond to requests for proposals from issuers where there would be no economic benefit, yet it would use up significant time of the limited staff she had. Q. And in that few days what RFP did she have? A. I don't recall a specific one. I don't have a name. Q. And did she make any other bad business decisions in this few day span? A. I would argue the first one was not embracing taking on the responsibility. Q. Of what? A. Of being head of the housing group. Q. Head or co-head? A. Well, initially as you know what we talked to her about was being head. Q. Who is "we"? A. Me. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | after we let Nick go, she told us that she was or immediately said she wanted to think about whether she wanted to stay or not, correct. Q. She said that to you? A. Yes. Q. If she testifies differently she would be lying? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. She would be saying her recollection of the facts and I would be saying mine. Q. Why did you approach Amy to be head and not Mr. Koessel? A. Because I didn't think Mike would be interested in that position. Q. Would you think that would be a bad business decision on his part? MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form. A. No. Q. Why would it be bad business decision for Amy if she wasn't interested in |

August 30, 2012 101–104

| AW | Y BARTOLETTI VS CITIGROUP INC. | | 101-104 |
|-----|--|----|---|
| | Page 101 | | Page 103 |
| 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN | 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN |
| 2 | A. Not what I said, sir. | 2 | A. Yes. Looking back now, you have |
| 3 | Q. Then what is it that you said? | 3 | proof of that. |
| 4 | A. What I said is she needed to think | 4 | Q. I am saying at the time in that |
| 5 | about whether she wanted to stay at the firm. | 5 | few day period. |
| 6 | Mike didn't say I want to leave or not. What | 6 | A. I understand. But yes, at the |
| 7 | I am saying is Mike was happy with the role at | 7 | time, yes. But again, it wasn't something he |
| 8 | the time that he was playing. So I didn't | 8 | was asking for. |
| 9 | think Mike would be interested in a more | 9 | Q. Well, he did ask for it, didn't |
| 10 | senior role. | 10 | he? |
| 11 | Q. So the bad business decision you | 11 | A. Ultimately, but not in the |
| 12 | believe she made was the fact that she | 12 | beginning, no. |
| 13 | allegedly told you that she was thinking about | 13 | Q. Well, when did he ask to become |
| 14 | whether to stay at the firm or not; is that | 14 | head of or co-head? |
| 15 | correct? | 15 | A. When I went and told him that we |
| 16 | MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the | 16 | let Nick go and we were looking at putting Amy |
| 17 | form. | 17 | in charge. |
| 18 | A. No, I said that was one of | 18 | Q. And what did he say? |
| 19 | several. Another again as I said is, as the | 19 | A. He said why not me? |
| 20 | senior person in having people work on things | 20 | Q. Is that the first time you thought |
| 21 | that there was no reason people should be | 21 | he was interested in a leadership position in |
| 22 | spending their time working on. | 22 | the group? |
| 23 | | 23 | A. Correct. |
| 24 | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | 24 | Q. And is there a reason why you |
| 25 | A. There were several proposals that | 25 | didn't make him the sole head of the group? |
| | Page 102 | | Page 104 |
| 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN | 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN |
| 2 | they sent out and I had several discussions | 2 | A. I think we wanted to give them |
| 3 | with Amy on it that were unnecessary, and her | 3 | both an opportunity within the business and if |
| 4 | answer was they were a good training for her | 4 | you had at that point made one of them head, |
| 5 | team that wasn't a reason to have people | 5 | the other one would have left. And we wanted |
| 6 | working the hours that she was having them | 6 | them both to stay. |
| 7 | work. | 7 | Q. Why would you offer a position to |
| 8 | Q. You can't name me one of these | 8 | someone who is not qualified? |
| 9 | several proposals? | 9 | A. I am sorry, who are you saying |
| 10 | A. No, I can't. | 10 | wasn't qualified? |
| 11 | Q. Did you e-mail, was there any | 11 | Q. Well, didn't you just testify that |
| 12 | e-mail between communication between you and | 12 | you don't believe Amy was qualified to be head |
| 13 | Amy on this? | 13 | • |
| 14 | A. We sat close enough together that | 14 | A. What I was trying to state, if I |
| 15 | we would talk. | 15 | didn't state it properly for you, is that they |
| 16 | Q. Do you know if Mike Koessel was | 16 | weren't in a position, neither of them, to |
| 17 | involved in these RFP decisions? | 17 | take on the full responsibility of that group |
| 18 | | 18 | at that point. |
| 19 | Q. Now why didn't you think that Mike | 19 | Q. Did you ever witness Mr. Koessel |
| 20 | Koessel was capable of managing the group? | 20 | make any bad business decisions prior to |
| 21 | A. I didn't say that. I said that | 21 | making him co-head? |
| 100 | many to the form of the Authorities | 22 | A NI- |

22

23

24

25

A. No.

Q. Never once?

Q. Only Amy?

A. Not that I recall.



23 more responsibility.

25 managing the group?

24

22 Mike never showed an interest in taking on

Q. Do you think he was capable of

August 30, 2012 105-108

Page 107 Page 105 D. BROWNSTEIN D. BROWNSTEIN 2 our goal with the housing group was simply to 2 A. Only Amy. have a footprint that would create the ability 3 Q. In that few day span? to act as a thought leader in the market as A. You are saying it was a few days, 4 but I am saying it was probably longer than 5 opposed to focusing on revenues. 5 that. But correct. 6 Q. So you weren't focusing on making 6 7 money for the company? Q. Why did you select Amy for a 7 A. Not in the housing group. The 8 8 layoff? housing group wasn't making a whole lot of 9 A. The fourth RIF was fairly money before this, but no. That wasn't our 10 dramatic. We were at a point in our business goal. 11 that we were in survival mode. And the first 11 12 question was what do we do with the housing 12 Q. How much was it making? 13 A. \$7, \$8 million total and that's group overall. The crisis had a dramatic 14 gross, not net. 14 impact on housing, as you all know. 15 Q. Now wasn't Amy able to serve in So the questions we asked were 15 that thought leadership role? 16 should we have a housing group and if so, what 16 17 should that housing group look like and what A. Well, first of all, she hadn't 17 18 would its future be. The conclusion was we 18 been. She hadn't been spending her time doing that. But really didn't have the experience, 19 19 would have a very slim housing group with one expertise or knowledge to do that, in my view. 20 near term purpose, and that was to have Citi 20 21 Q. Why not? 21 continue to be somewhat of a thought leader in Just my opinion. 22 the market when it comes to housing on the 22 Α. 23 Q. What's it based on? 23 municipal side. 24 Clearly Citi was a large player in 24 A. My knowledge of the two 25 individuals and what they did and how they did 25 the housing space overall, not just Page 106 Page 108 D. BROWNSTEIN D. BROWNSTEIN 1 things and how they acted. 2 municipals. But so our goal in the housing 2 Q. Well, what's the basis for that? group, our decision was we wanted to keep a 3

4 footprint, but it would be a very limited

5 footprint and its goal at that point wouldn't

6 be about generating revenues for us, but would

be to maintain thought leadership in that 7 8 market.

9

14

16

25

The decision that I made to have 10 Mike stay and not Amy was because Mike had the 11 capacity and capability and experience to 12 serve in that thought leadership role as 13 opposed to simply a banking role.

Q. What do you mean by thought 15 leadership role?

A. What Mike was spending time on was 17 working with people in Washington on solutions 18 to the crisis. What it means by being a 19 thought leader is being out there in front of 20 people who are in positions to help make 21 change in coming up with solutions that can 22 make those changes.

23 Q. Did that generate any revenue for 24 the group?

As I said, from that point forward

How did Amy act that would lead you to believe that she couldn't function in the stock

6 leadership role?

> MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the form.

9 A. Simply my opinion.

10 Q. When did Mr. Koessel start spending his time speaking to people in 11 Washington to try to form solutions to the 12

housing crisis? 13

7

8

14

16

17

19

A. I can't give you a specific date.

I don't know. You'd have to ask Mike. 15

Q. How long was it going on for?

A. As I said, I don't know, you have to ask Mike. I don't have dates. 18

Q. Was it years?

A. Mike was always involved in 20

discussions with people throughout the system, 21

Washington, Fannie, Freddie and otherwise on 22

the housing market, as well as people 23

internally in different components of 24

25 Citigroup who handled the mortgages.



August 30, 2012 109–112

| AMY BARTOLETTI vs CITIGROUP INC. | 109–112 |
|--|--|
| Page 109 | Page 111 |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN |
| 2 Q. Well, the crash happened in 2008, | 2 Do you know what Amy worked on |
| 3 correct? | 3 prior to Nick's termination? |
| 4 A. Yes. | 4 A. Sure. Both student loan and |
| 5 Q. So you wouldn't have been spending | 5 housing bond finances. |
| 6 time prior to 2008 trying to figure out | 6 Q. Do you know what she specifically |
| 7 solutions to the housing crisis, correct? | 7 did? |
| 8 A. Solutions to the housing market | 8 A. She ran the deals because Nick |
| 9 were issues before the crisis, because they | 9 basically handed them off to her. |
| 10 are not just about the crisis, they are about | 10 Q. Do you know what Mr. Koessel did? |
| 11 just the housing market, how it functions, | 11 A. He went and got business and |
| | 12 managed and ran deals as well. They both did. |
| | 13 Q. And Amy never got business? |
| 13 market. So Mike was involved in that for | , , |
| 14 sometime, but you'd have to talk to him about | 15 Q. She did? |
| 15 his exact experience with that. | |
| 16 Q. Did you ever talk to Nick Fluehr | 16 A. Yes. |
| 17 about Amy's work performance? | 17 Q. She went out and got business? |
| 18 A. No. | 18 A. Yes. Mostly with Nick as opposed |
| 19 Q. How about Amy's ability to manage | 19 to Mike, because she and Nick worked very, |
| 20 the group? | 20 very closely together. |
| 21 A. No, not that I recall. | Q. You know what both of them did |
| 22 Q. Did you ever talk to Nick Fluehr | 22 I am sorry, Amy and Mike? |
| 23 about Mike Koessel's work performance? | 23 A. Yes. |
| 24 A. Not that I recall. Actually, Nick | 24 Q. You just don't know how well they |
| 25 was not a fan of Mike's, he didn't think he | 25 did? |
| | |
| Page 110 | Page 112 |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 A. You asked me if I asked Nick about |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 A. You asked me if I asked Nick about 3 what he thought of their performance. And I |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 A. You asked me if I asked Nick about 3 what he thought of their performance. And I 4 never spoke to Nick about their performance. |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 A. You asked me if I asked Nick about 3 what he thought of their performance. And I 4 never spoke to Nick about their performance. 5 Q. Did you speak to anyone about |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 A. You asked me if I asked Nick about 3 what he thought of their performance. And I 4 never spoke to Nick about their performance. 5 Q. Did you speak to anyone about 6 their work performance? |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 A. You asked me if I asked Nick about 3 what he thought of their performance. And I 4 never spoke to Nick about their performance. 5 Q. Did you speak to anyone about 6 their work performance? 7 A. No. |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 A. You asked me if I asked Nick about 3 what he thought of their performance. And I 4 never spoke to Nick about their performance. 5 Q. Did you speak to anyone about 6 their work performance? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Were you in a position to evaluate |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 A. You asked me if I asked Nick about 3 what he thought of their performance. And I 4 never spoke to Nick about their performance. 5 Q. Did you speak to anyone about 6 their work performance? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Were you in a position to evaluate 9 Amy's work performance? |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. 10 Q. So then the only time you would | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 A. You asked me if I asked Nick about 3 what he thought of their performance. And I 4 never spoke to Nick about their performance. 5 Q. Did you speak to anyone about 6 their work performance? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Were you in a position to evaluate 9 Amy's work performance? 10 A. I worked with both Amy and Mike on |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. 10 Q. So then the only time you would 11 have known directly about Mike's work | D. BROWNSTEIN A. You asked me if I asked Nick about what he thought of their performance. And I never spoke to Nick about their performance. Q. Did you speak to anyone about their work performance? A. No. Q. Were you in a position to evaluate Amy's work performance? A. I worked with both Amy and Mike on derivatives transactions. So I had |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. 10 Q. So then the only time you would 11 have known directly about Mike's work 12 performance was after Nick Fluehr was laid | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 A. You asked me if I asked Nick about 3 what he thought of their performance. And I 4 never spoke to Nick about their performance. 5 Q. Did you speak to anyone about 6 their work performance? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Were you in a position to evaluate 9 Amy's work performance? 10 A. I worked with both Amy and Mike on 11 derivatives transactions. So I had 12 interaction with them. |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. 10 Q. So then the only time you would 11 have known directly about Mike's work 12 performance was after Nick Fluehr was laid 13 off? | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 A. You asked me if I asked Nick about 3 what he thought of their performance. And I 4 never spoke to Nick about their performance. 5 Q. Did you speak to anyone about 6 their work performance? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Were you in a position to evaluate 9 Amy's work performance? 10 A. I worked with both Amy and Mike on 11 derivatives transactions. So I had 12 interaction with them. 13 Q. How many times did you work with |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. 10 Q. So then the only time you would 11 have known directly about Mike's work 12 performance was after Nick Fluehr was laid 13 off? 14 A. Correct. | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 A. You asked me if I asked Nick about 3 what he thought of their performance. And I 4 never spoke to Nick about their performance. 5 Q. Did you speak to anyone about 6 their work performance? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Were you in a position to evaluate 9 Amy's work performance? 10 A. I worked with both Amy and Mike on 11 derivatives transactions. So I had 12 interaction with them. 13 Q. How many times did you work with 14 Amy on derivative transactions? |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. 10 Q. So then the only time you would 11 have known directly about Mike's work 12 performance was after Nick Fluehr was laid 13 off? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. And the same thing with Amy? | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 A. You asked me if I asked Nick about 3 what he thought of their performance. And I 4 never spoke to Nick about their performance. 5 Q. Did you speak to anyone about 6 their work performance? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Were you in a position to evaluate 9 Amy's work performance? 10 A. I worked with both Amy and Mike on 11 derivatives transactions. So I had 12 interaction with them. 13 Q. How many times did you work with 14 Amy on derivative transactions? 15 A. Over many, many years, I can't |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. 10 Q. So then the only time you would 11 have known directly about Mike's work 12 performance was after Nick Fluehr was laid 13 off? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. And the same thing with Amy? 16 A. Correct. | D. BROWNSTEIN A. You asked me if I asked Nick about what he thought of their performance. And I never spoke to Nick about their performance. Q. Did you speak to anyone about their work performance? A. No. Q. Were you in a position to evaluate Amy's work performance? A. I worked with both Amy and Mike on derivatives transactions. So I had interaction with them. Q. How many times did you work with Amy on derivative transactions? A. Over many, many years, I can't I I can't give you an amount. |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. 10 Q. So then the only time you would 11 have known directly about Mike's work 12 performance was after Nick Fluehr was laid 13 off? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. And the same thing with Amy? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. And so how do you know Mike was | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 A. You asked me if I asked Nick about 3 what he thought of their performance. And I 4 never spoke to Nick about their performance. 5 Q. Did you speak to anyone about 6 their work performance? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Were you in a position to evaluate 9 Amy's work performance? 10 A. I worked with both Amy and Mike on 11 derivatives transactions. So I had 12 interaction with them. 13 Q. How many times did you work with 14 Amy on derivative transactions? 15 A. Over many, many years, I can't 16 I can't give you an amount. 17 Q. More than ten? |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. 10 Q. So then the only time you would 11 have known directly about Mike's work 12 performance was after Nick Fluehr was laid 13 off? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. And the same thing with Amy? 16 A. Correct. | D. BROWNSTEIN A. You asked me if I asked Nick about what he thought of their performance. And I never spoke to Nick about their performance. Q. Did you speak to anyone about their work performance? A. No. Q. Were you in a position to evaluate Amy's work performance? A. I worked with both Amy and Mike on derivatives transactions. So I had interaction with them. Q. How many times did you work with Amy on derivative transactions? A. Over many, many years, I can't I can't give you an amount. Q. More than ten? A. Probably not even close to ten. |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. 10 Q. So then the only time you would 11 have known directly about Mike's work 12 performance was after Nick Fluehr was laid 13 off? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. And the same thing with Amy? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. And so how do you know Mike was | D. BROWNSTEIN A. You asked me if I asked Nick about what he thought of their performance. And I never spoke to Nick about their performance. Q. Did you speak to anyone about their work performance? A. No. Q. Were you in a position to evaluate Amy's work performance? A. I worked with both Amy and Mike on derivatives transactions. So I had interaction with them. Q. How many times did you work with Amy on derivative transactions? A. Over many, many years, I can't I can't give you an amount. Q. More than ten? A. Probably not even close to ten. |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. 10 Q. So then the only time you would 11 have known directly about Mike's work 12 performance was after Nick Fluehr was laid 13 off? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. And the same thing with Amy? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. And so how do you know Mike was 18 spending his time speaking to people in D.C. | D. BROWNSTEIN A. You asked me if I asked Nick about what he thought of their performance. And I never spoke to Nick about their performance. Q. Did you speak to anyone about their work performance? A. No. Q. Were you in a position to evaluate Amy's work performance? A. I worked with both Amy and Mike on derivatives transactions. So I had interaction with them. Q. How many times did you work with Amy on derivative transactions? A. Over many, many years, I can't I can't give you an amount. Q. More than ten? A. Probably not even close to ten. Q. More than five? A. I don't know. |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. 10 Q. So then the only time you would 11 have known directly about Mike's work 12 performance was after Nick Fluehr was laid 13 off? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. And the same thing with Amy? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. And so how do you know Mike was 18 spending his time speaking to people in D.C. 19 about solutions to the housing crisis? | D. BROWNSTEIN A. You asked me if I asked Nick about what he thought of their performance. And I never spoke to Nick about their performance. Q. Did you speak to anyone about their work performance? A. No. Q. Were you in a position to evaluate Amy's work performance? A. I worked with both Amy and Mike on derivatives transactions. So I had interaction with them. Q. How many times did you work with Amy on derivative transactions? A. Over many, many years, I can't I can't give you an amount. Q. More than ten? A. Probably not even close to ten. |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. 10 Q. So then the only time you would 11 have known directly about Mike's work 12 performance was after Nick Fluehr was laid 13 off? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. And the same thing with Amy? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. And so how do you know Mike was 18 spending his time speaking to people in D.C. 19 about solutions to the housing crisis? 20 A. I thought you just asked about 21 work performance. | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 A. You asked me if I asked Nick about 3 what he thought of their performance. And I 4 never spoke to Nick about their performance. 5 Q. Did you speak to anyone about 6 their work performance? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Were you in a position to evaluate 9 Amy's work performance? 10 A. I worked with both Amy and Mike on 11 derivatives transactions. So I had 12 interaction with them. 13 Q. How many times did you work with 14 Amy on derivative transactions? 15 A. Over many, many years, I can't 16 I can't give you an amount. 17 Q. More than ten? 18 A. Probably not even close to ten. 19 Q. More than five? 20 A. I don't know. |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. 10 Q. So then the only time you would 11 have known directly about Mike's work 12 performance was after Nick Fluehr was laid 13 off? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. And the same thing with Amy? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. And so how do you know Mike was 18 spending his time speaking to people in D.C. 19 about solutions to the housing crisis? 20 A. I thought you just asked about 21 work performance. 22 Q. Right. | D. BROWNSTEIN A. You asked me if I asked Nick about what he thought of their performance. And I never spoke to Nick about their performance. Q. Did you speak to anyone about their work performance? A. No. Q. Were you in a position to evaluate Amy's work performance? A. I worked with both Amy and Mike on derivatives transactions. So I had interaction with them. Q. How many times did you work with Amy on derivative transactions? A. Over many, many years, I can't I can't give you an amount. Q. More than ten? A. Probably not even close to ten. Q. More than five? A. I don't know. And how about Mike, how many |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. 10 Q. So then the only time you would 11 have known directly about Mike's work 12 performance was after Nick Fluehr was laid 13 off? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. And the same thing with Amy? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. And so how do you know Mike was 18 spending his time speaking to people in D.C. 19 about solutions to the housing crisis? 20 A. I thought you just asked about 21 work performance. 22 Q. Right. 23 A. That's not performance, that's | D. BROWNSTEIN A. You asked me if I asked Nick about what he thought of their performance. And I never spoke to Nick about their performance. Q. Did you speak to anyone about their work performance? A. No. Q. Were you in a position to evaluate Amy's work performance? A. I worked with both Amy and Mike on derivatives transactions. So I had interaction with them. Q. How many times did you work with Amy on derivative transactions? A. Over many, many years, I can't I can't give you an amount. Q. More than ten? A. Probably not even close to ten. Q. More than five? A. I don't know. A. I don't know. A. And how about Mike, how many derivative transactions on with him? A. The same, a couple. |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 was doing a good job is my recollection. 3 Q. Do you know why? 4 A. I don't. 5 Q. Did you disagree with him? 6 A. At the point I would have talked 7 to Nick about this, I would have had no direct 8 interaction with Mike or Amy to know to agree 9 or disagree. 10 Q. So then the only time you would 11 have known directly about Mike's work 12 performance was after Nick Fluehr was laid 13 off? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. And the same thing with Amy? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. And so how do you know Mike was 18 spending his time speaking to people in D.C. 19 about solutions to the housing crisis? 20 A. I thought you just asked about 21 work performance. 22 Q. Right. | D. BROWNSTEIN A. You asked me if I asked Nick about what he thought of their performance. And I never spoke to Nick about their performance. Q. Did you speak to anyone about their work performance? A. No. Q. Were you in a position to evaluate Amy's work performance? A. I worked with both Amy and Mike on derivatives transactions. So I had interaction with them. Q. How many times did you work with Amy on derivative transactions? A. Over many, many years, I can't I can't give you an amount. Q. More than ten? A. Probably not even close to ten. Q. More than five? A. I don't know. A. I don't know. A. And how about Mike, how many derivative transactions on with him? A. The same, a couple. |



August 30, 2012 113–116

| | Page 113 | 4 | Page 115 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN | 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN |
| 2 | Mike? | 2 | into account? |
| 3 | A. It would have been before '08, I | 3 | A. Total compensation people had and |
| 4 | believe. | 4 | what impact would have on total requirements |
| 5 | Q. Do you know how far before '08? | 5 | for the RIF. |
| 6 | A. I don't. The same with Amy, it | 6 | Q. And Amy was making more than |
| 7 | would have been before '08. | 7 | Mr. Koessel? |
| 8 | Q. So the extent of your ability to | 8 | A. Correct. |
| 9 | judge their performance was based solely on | 9 | Q. Do you know how much more? |
| 10 | the transactions you worked on them with? | 10 | A. I don't. |
| 11 | A. And interacting with them | 11 | Q. Reducing her compensation was not |
| 12 | generally. | 12 | an option though, correct? |
| 13 | Q. How could you judge their work | 13 | A. As I said, that wasn't a choice we |
| 14 | performance based on interacting with them? | 14 | were given by senior management. |
| 15 | A. Because how they interact with | 15 | Q. If someone makes more money than |
| 16 | other people gives you a feel for how what | 16 | someone else, what does that mean? |
| 17 | their capable of doing. | 17 | MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the |
| 18 | Q. And you would see them interact | 18 | form. |
| 19 | | 19 | Q. Let me just ask that based on |
| 20 | A. Their coworkers, other people | 20 | incentive comp. If someone receives more |
| 21 | within the department, yes. And sometimes | 21 | incentive comp than someone else, what does |
| 22 | | 22 | that mean? |
| 23 | Q. And what was your opinion about | 23 | MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the |
| 24 | Amy's interactions? | 24 | form. |
| 25 | A. I thought Amy was very capable as | 25 | A. Well, as I understand it |
| | Page 114 | | Page 116 |
| 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN | 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN |
| 2 | a banker. | 2 | THE WITNESS: Do I not answer when |
| 3 | Q. How about Mike? | 3 | you say that? |
| 4 | A. I thought Mike was very capable as | | |
| | | 4 | MR. TURNBULL: No, I just objected |
| | | 4 5 | MR. TURNBULL: No, I just objected to the form of the question. You can go |
| 5 | a banker as well. | l . | |
| 5 6 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained | 5 6 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. |
| 5 6 7 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? | 5 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. |
| 5 6 7 8 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? A. I never heard that. | 5 6 7 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. A. I understand that Amy's |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? A. I never heard that. Q. Do you know if he was well liked | 5 6 7 8 9 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. A. I understand that Amy's compensation was at the level it was at |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? A. I never heard that. Q. Do you know if he was well liked by the group? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. A. I understand that Amy's compensation was at the level it was at because a year or two before she and Nick went |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? A. I never heard that. Q. Do you know if he was well liked by the group? A. I don't know. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. A. I understand that Amy's compensation was at the level it was at because a year or two before she and Nick went in and told Frank they were going to leave |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? A. I never heard that. Q. Do you know if he was well liked by the group? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know if anyone complained | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. A. I understand that Amy's compensation was at the level it was at because a year or two before she and Nick went in and told Frank they were going to leave unless he matched what they were offered at |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? A. I never heard that. Q. Do you know if he was well liked by the group? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know if anyone complained about his ability to manage people? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. A. I understand that Amy's compensation was at the level it was at because a year or two before she and Nick went in and told Frank they were going to leave unless he matched what they were offered at Morgan Stanley. So that's the reason her |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? A. I never heard that. Q. Do you know if he was well liked by the group? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know if anyone complained about his ability to manage people? A. I don't. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. A. I understand that Amy's compensation was at the level it was at because a year or two before she and Nick went in and told Frank they were going to leave unless he matched what they were offered at Morgan Stanley. So that's the reason her compensation was at the level it was at. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? A. I never heard that. Q. Do you know if he was well liked by the group? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know if anyone complained about his ability to manage people? A. I don't. Q. Did you take Amy's compensation | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. A. I understand that Amy's compensation was at the level it was at because a year or two before she and Nick went in and told Frank they were going to leave unless he matched what they were offered at Morgan Stanley. So that's the reason her compensation was at the level it was at. Q. And do you know when this offer |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? A. I never heard that. Q. Do you know if he was well liked by the group? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know if anyone complained about his ability to manage people? A. I don't. Q. Did you take Amy's compensation into account when determining who to lay off, | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. A. I understand that Amy's compensation was at the level it was at because a year or two before she and Nick went in and told Frank they were going to leave unless he matched what they were offered at Morgan Stanley. So that's the reason her compensation was at the level it was at. Q. And do you know when this offer from Morgan Stanley came? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? A. I never heard that. Q. Do you know if he was well liked by the group? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know if anyone complained about his ability to manage people? A. I don't. Q. Did you take Amy's compensation into account when determining who to lay off, either her or Mike? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. A. I understand that Amy's compensation was at the level it was at because a year or two before she and Nick went in and told Frank they were going to leave unless he matched what they were offered at Morgan Stanley. So that's the reason her compensation was at the level it was at. Q. And do you know when this offer from Morgan Stanley came? A. I don't. I wasn't in public |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? A. I never heard that. Q. Do you know if he was well liked by the group? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know if anyone complained about his ability to manage people? A. I don't. Q. Did you take Amy's compensation into account when determining who to lay off, either her or Mike? A. My primary decision factor was as | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. A. I understand that Amy's compensation was at the level it was at because a year or two before she and Nick went in and told Frank they were going to leave unless he matched what they were offered at Morgan Stanley. So that's the reason her compensation was at the level it was at. Q. And do you know when this offer from Morgan Stanley came? A. I don't. I wasn't in public finance at the time. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? A. I never heard that. Q. Do you know if he was well liked by the group? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know if anyone complained about his ability to manage people? A. I don't. Q. Did you take Amy's compensation into account when determining who to lay off, either her or Mike? A. My primary decision factor was as I explained to you. It was driven by our | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. A. I understand that Amy's compensation was at the level it was at because a year or two before she and Nick went in and told Frank they were going to leave unless he matched what they were offered at Morgan Stanley. So that's the reason her compensation was at the level it was at. Q. And do you know when this offer from Morgan Stanley came? A. I don't. I wasn't in public finance at the time. Q. Do you know if it was a year or |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? A. I never heard that. Q. Do you know if he was well liked by the group? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know if anyone complained about his ability to manage people? A. I don't. Q. Did you take Amy's compensation into account when determining who to lay off, either her or Mike? A. My primary decision factor was as I explained to you. It was driven by our what our objective would be at that point for | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. A. I understand that Amy's compensation was at the level it was at because a year or two before she and Nick went in and told Frank they were going to leave unless he matched what they were offered at Morgan Stanley. So that's the reason her compensation was at the level it was at. Q. And do you know when this offer from Morgan Stanley came? A. I don't. I wasn't in public finance at the time. Q. Do you know if it was a year or two before? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? A. I never heard that. Q. Do you know if he was well liked by the group? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know if anyone complained about his ability to manage people? A. I don't. Q. Did you take Amy's compensation into account when determining who to lay off, either her or Mike? A. My primary decision factor was as I explained to you. It was driven by our — what our objective would be at that point for what our housing group would do if we were to | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. A. I understand that Amy's compensation was at the level it was at because a year or two before she and Nick went in and told Frank they were going to leave unless he matched what they were offered at Morgan Stanley. So that's the reason her compensation was at the level it was at. Q. And do you know when this offer from Morgan Stanley came? A. I don't. I wasn't in public finance at the time. Q. Do you know if it was a year or two before? A. I don't. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? A. I never heard that. Q. Do you know if he was well liked by the group? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know if anyone complained about his ability to manage people? A. I don't. Q. Did you take Amy's compensation into account when determining who to lay off, either her or Mike? A. My primary decision factor was as I explained to you. It was driven by our what our objective would be at that point for what our housing group would do if we were to keep one. And clearly other factors went into | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. A. I understand that Amy's compensation was at the level it was at because a year or two before she and Nick went in and told Frank they were going to leave unless he matched what they were offered at Morgan Stanley. So that's the reason her compensation was at the level it was at. Q. And do you know when this offer from Morgan Stanley came? A. I don't. I wasn't in public finance at the time. Q. Do you know if it was a year or two before? A. I don't. Q. So you are guessing? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | a banker as well. Q. Do you know if people complained about Mike yelling in the office? A. I never heard that. Q. Do you know if he was well liked by the group? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know if anyone complained about his ability to manage people? A. I don't. Q. Did you take Amy's compensation into account when determining who to lay off, either her or Mike? A. My primary decision factor was as I explained to you. It was driven by our what our objective would be at that point for what our housing group would do if we were to keep one. And clearly other factors went into account as well, but that was the primary | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | to the form of the question. You can go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Thanks. A. I understand that Amy's compensation was at the level it was at because a year or two before she and Nick went in and told Frank they were going to leave unless he matched what they were offered at Morgan Stanley. So that's the reason her compensation was at the level it was at. Q. And do you know when this offer from Morgan Stanley came? A. I don't. I wasn't in public finance at the time. Q. Do you know if it was a year or two before? A. I don't. Q. So you are guessing? A. That's what someone told me at the |

25

Q. Who told you?



Q. What other factors did you take

August 30, 2012 117–120

| | 1 | Page 117 D. BROWNSTEIN | 1 | Page 119 D. BROWNSTEIN |
|-----|------------|--|-----|--|
| | 2 | A. It would have been Frank. | 2 | form. |
| - | 3 | Q. Okay. Did you take any other | 3 | A. The housing group in total was |
| | 4 | factors into account? | 4 | considered for this first RIF fourth RIF. |
| - | 5 | A. No. | 5 | Q. In terms of the my question has to |
| | 6 | Q. So it was comp and this thought | 6 | do in terms of the names that were identified. |
| | 7 | leadership role? | 7 | A. That would be the housing group in |
| 1 | 8 | A. It was the thought leadership role | 8 | total. |
| | 9 | and then comp. | 9 | MR. TURNBULL: I think you guys |
| | 10 | MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the | 10 | are missing each other. Go ahead, start |
| | 11 | form. | 11 | over again. |
| | 12 | A. But it was primarily what we were | 12 | Q. Let me try it this way: When you |
| | 13 | going to have this very small housing group do | 13 | spoke to the group heads about identifying |
| | 14 | if we were to keep the housing group at all. | 14 | candidates for the fourth RIF, did you |
| | 15 | Q. With respect to Amy receiving an | 15 | identify anybody at all? |
| | 16 | offer from Morgan Stanley, did that happen | 16 | MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the |
| | 17 | before you became co-head of the public | 17 | form. |
| | 18 | finance department? | 18 | A. I considered the entire housing |
| | 19 | MR. TURNBULL: Objection. | 19 | group as part of that RIF. |
| | 20 | A. I believe so. | 20 | Q. Okay. Did you also consider |
| | 21 | Q. And why would Frank Chin, do you | 21 | people from the derivatives group as well? |
| | 22 | know why Frank Chin would have spoken to you | 22 | A. Almost everyone in the derivatives |
| | 23 | about that before you were co-head of the | 23 | group was laid off in one of the four RIFs, 23 |
| | 24 | public finance department? | 24 | of the 25 people or so. |
| | 25 | MR. TURNBULL: Objection. | 25 | Q. So now my question is, did you |
| | 1 | Page 118 D. BROWNSTEIN | 1 | Page 120 D. BROWNSTEIN |
| | 1 2 | Misstates testimony. | 2 | select anyone for inclusion in the fourth RIF |
| l | 3 | A. I didn't say he spoke to me before | 3 | from the housing group? |
| | 4 | then, before I became co-head. | 4 | MR. TURNBULL: Objection. Asked |
| | 5 | Q. Okay. So you found out after you | 5 | and answered. |
| | 6 | became co-head? | 6 | MR. DATOO: I think he said he |
| | 7 | A. Correct. | 7 | considered everyone. I want to know if |
| | 8 | Q. Did you discuss your criteria in | 8 | he identified everyone. |
| | 9 | determining who to select off between Amy and | 9 | A. I identified everyone. |
| 1 | 10 | Mike with Frank Chin? | 10 | MR. TURNBULL: He selected, he |
| | 11 | A. Perhaps. | 11 | told you he selected Amy. |
| | 12 | Q. Do you recall telling him your | 12 | MR. DATOO: I am getting confused |
| | 13 | thought process? | 13 | over the group and specific individuals. |
| . | 14 | A. I don't recall. | 14 | Q. I want to know which individuals. |
| | 15 | Q. Was Frank Chin involved in the | 15 | MR. TURNBULL: Just let him finish |
| | 16 | decision to terminate Amy? | 16 | the question because it is sort of |
| | 17 | A. At some point in the process, yes. | 17 | mid-question and you are jumping in. |
| | 18 | Q. At what point in the process? | 18 | Q. I want to know what individuals |
| | 19 | A. I don't know. | 19 | you identified for layoff from the housing |
| | 20 | Q. Did you initially identify her? | 20 | group. |
| | 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | A. No individuals specifically |
| | 22 | Q. And so she was on this initial | 22 | identified initialing the entire group, which |
| | 23 | list of people who were selected for layoff in | 23 | would include all the individuals. |
| | 24 | connection with the fourth RIF? | 24 | Q. So let me make sure I am clear. |
| - 1 | αr | MAD TUDNIDUU . Objection to the | 0.5 | And the second second is a set of the second is a second s |

25

MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the

25 When names were identified for inclusion in

August 30, 2012 121–124

Page 121 Page 123 1 D. BROWNSTEIN D. BROWNSTEIN 2 MR. DATOO: I don't think "list" 2 the fourth RIF, everyone in the housing group's name were on this list? 3 is throwing anybody off. MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the Q. I am not concerned with who you 4 4 considered. I want to know who was identified 5 form. It misstates the testimony. 6 MR. DATOO: I don't know what the from the housing group for layoff when all the 7 7 group heads gave you names? testimony is. 8 A. At that point we hadn't gotten 8 MR. TURNBULL: I understand there that far when it comes to the housing group, 9 is a misunderstanding, but you are -your question incorporates your 10 so that's why there was no one on a list, 10 there was an entire group under consideration. 11 misunderstanding. 11 12 A. I am sorry. I am not quite sure 12 Q. Okay. Were there people from the 13 at this point what you are asking. derivatives group identified? 13 A. Yes. That would have been on the Q. Let me keep trying this, because I 14 14 15 don't know why there is a disconnect. I don't 15 list. 16 know if it is you or me, I don't know. Q. And that would have come from 16 17 I believe you testified earlier 17 decisions you made? 18 this morning that either you or Frank Chin 18 A. Correct. 19 Okay. At what point in time were 19 reached out to the group heads and told them Q. 20 that there was going to be a fourth RIF, people from the housing group added to this 20 21 21 correct? list? 22 A. I can't give you exact timing 22 Α. Yes. because I don't remember when along the way 23 Q. And I believe you testified this 23 24 morning that you weren't quite sure of the 24 that was a decision that was made to add them to the list. I don't know. timing, but at some point the group heads got 25 Page 122 Page 124 D. BROWNSTEIN 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 1 2 back to you with names? 2 Q. And was it you that selected the 3 A. Correct. people from the housing group? 4 Q. And that was the initial list of 4 A. Correct. Yes. Q. And did Frank Chin have to approve 5 5 names, I am referring to that as the initial your selections from the housing group? 6 6 list of names. 7 A. Yes. 7 MR. TURNBULL: Objection. There Q. Why did he have to approve? 8 has been no testimony other than one 8 Because we were co-heads of the 9 Α. 9 MR. DATOO: Can you let me finish? 10 business, neither of us made decisions alone. 10 Q. So even you had to approve, you MR. TURNBULL: I am objecting to 11 11 and Frank had to approve everyone whose name the term "initial list." 12 12 is on the list? MR. DATOO: Object, but let me 13 13 14 finish asking the question. 14 A. Correct. Q. And did Frank Chin say anything Q. Once the group heads identified a 15 15 about the inclusion of Amy on this list? 16 list of names, were there any names of people 16 A. I don't recall. 17 from the housing group on that list of names? 17 Q. And do you recall discussing Amy's A. Again, at that point all we were 18 18 inclusion on this list with Frank Chin? 19 considering was whether to close the housing 19 MR. TURNBULL: Objection. Asked 20 group. So that would have meant every name 20 21 would have been in consideration as a total. 21 and answered. A. I don't. 22 Q. Maybe it is the word that you are 22 23 Q. Did you ever see any of Amy's 23 using "consideration." performance reviews? MR. TURNBULL: Or your word 24 24

25



"list."

25

A. No. You asked me that earlier.

August 30, 2012 125–128

| AlVI | Y BARTOLETTI VS CITIGROUP INC. | | 120-120 |
|------|---|----|--|
| | Page 125 | | Page 127 |
| 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN | 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN |
| 2 | Q. Did you ever see any of | 2 | forward. That's item 1. |
| 3 | Mr. Koessel's performance reviews? | 3 | And I'd 2 is again as I said, the |
| 4 | A. No, I did not. You asked me that | 4 | primary goal for the future was to be a |
| 5 | earlier. | 5 | thought leader in the market. |
| 6 | Q. Amy did housing transactions, | 6 | So while the business that each of |
| 7 | didn't she? | 7 | them had was great and I am sorry we lost Amy |
| 8 | A. And student loan. | 8 | and her business, but it wasn't the driver for |
| 9 | Q. My question was, did Amy do | 9 | why we were going to retain a portion of that |
| 10 | housing transactions? | 10 | business going forward. |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | Q. So you lost business when Amy was |
| 12 | Q. She also did student loans? | 12 | laid off? |
| 13 | A. Yes. | 13 | A. Some business, yes. |
| 14 | Q. In 2008 were student loans a big | 14 | Q. Do you know who? |
| 15 | part of her practice? | 15 | A. Yes, I know we lost South Dakota |
| 16 | A. 20 percent I suspect. | 16 | and perhaps North Dakota. North Dakota I |
| 17 | Q. And did anyone else in the was | 17 | think was student loan primarily, but I am not |
| 18 | anyone else in the housing group able to do | 18 | sure. |
| 19 | student loan transactions in '08? | 19 | Q. Were you familiar with Amy's |
| 20 | A. No. | 20 | clients? |
| 21 | Q. Is this just like an extra skill | 21 | A. Some of them, yes. |
| 22 | that Amy had? | 22 | Q. Who else, other than South |
| 23 | A. Well, prior to Nick being | 23 | Dakota was South Dakota Amy's client? |
| 24 | terminated, Nick of course could do student | 24 | A. Well, it was Nick's client that |
| 25 | loan transactions as well. We had a separate | 25 | Amy was involved in is how I would respond to |
| | Page 126 | | Page 128 |
| 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN | 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN |
| 2 | student loan group in corporate finance that | 2 | that. |
| 3 | did student loan transactions. Our business | 3 | Q. How about North Dakota? |
| 4 | retained 10, 15 percent of the client base in | 4 | A. Yes, same thing Nick's client. |
| 5 | student loans after they split off from | 5 | Q. In addition to those two, who else |
| 6 | corporate finance. So that's why Nick and Amy | 6 | was Amy's client? |
| 7 | continued to do a small portion of that work. | 7 | A. Montana student loan and Nick's |
| 8 | Q. Why did that 10 to 15 percent get | 8 | client as well. Amy worked on a couple of the |
| 9 | split off into the corporate finance group? | 9 | other housing accounts around the country. In |
| 10 | A. I don't know. | 10 | many respects the smaller accounts, how you |
| 11 | Q. But Amy could do housing | 11 | have to break up our businesses, the large |
| 12 | transactions, right? | 12 | states have the large populations, issue the |
| 13 | A. Sure. | 13 | most data, the South Dakota simply doesn't fit |
| 14 | Q. So the inclusion or selection of | 14 | as an example into that category. |
| 15 | Amy for layoff had nothing to do with the fact | 15 | |
| 16 | that she was doing student loans, did it? | 16 | the ones you just specifically named me? |
| 17 | MR. TURNBULL: Objection. | 17 | A. I can't recall. |
| 18 | A. I would argue it had something to | 18 | Q. Were you familiar with Mike |
| 19 | do with it, yes. | 19 | Koessel's clients in '08? |
| 20 | Q. Why did student loans play a | 20 | A. Some of them. |
| 21 | factor? | 21 | Q. Which ones were his clients? |
| 22 | A. Again, you'd have to look at each | 22 | A. Cal housing as an example. We did |
| 23 | of their client bases that they had and | 23 | derivatives for them, that's how I was |
| 24 | conclude who had a client base that would | 24 | involved in that one. Mike was involved in |
| 1 | | 25 | Florida haveing Tayon haveing New York on |

25 better fit what our business was going

25 Florida housing, Texas housing, New York as

August 30, 2012 129-132

Page 131 Page 129 D. BROWNSTEIN 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 generated from client transactions important? 2 was Amy, but really a banker client, regional A. Absolutely. banker client that they both worked on. Q. Why? 4 Massachusetts and Connecticut housing. 4 A. 5 Q. I just want to make sure that 5 Because we're in the business of we're talking about the same thing. I am not 6 making money. 6 7 Q. But the housing group shifted away 7 asking about clients that they did work on. I from that, didn't it? 8 am asking about whether certain clients 8 A. The decision with respect to the 9 belonged to Amy or Mike, if you could answer 10 housing group, although I should correct one 10 that question. 11 MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the 11 thing you said, Mike actually has done a tremendous amount making money for the housing 12 form. 13 group since it got pared down to just him and Q. Let me ask it again. Which 13 14 clients were Mike's? 14 two people. 15 15 But having said that, the answer A. Ahem. I would tell you the ones I 16 is that the decision process for us was to 16 just said, plus Ohio housing. One of the 17 things you are saying that I'd like to correct 17 either stay in that business with a slimmed 18 a little is, "your clients," an individual's. 18 down staff and focus on how to become a 19 participant in the market on the thought 19 I don't believe that any client of the firm's 20 leadership side or to be out of the market 20 belongs to an individual. They are -- they 21 totally. And that market was a very -- the 21 are hiring the firm and its capabilities. 22 key was that market was shrinking very 22 And while the lead banker on the 23 account plays an integral role and the most 23 quickly. Housing bonds weren't being done, we 24 important role in our being hired by that 24 were in a crisis. 25 And so to keep people in that

D. BROWNSTEIN

Page 130

organization overall and capabilities of that 3 organization that the clients is also hiring. 4 So there is no client that belongs to one 5 individual within our business.

25 client, it is the skill set of the

1

6

11

15

16

17

19

25

Q. Just so I am clear and maybe so 7 the record is clear, do you know which clients 8 Amy acted as a lead banker for, other than the 9 ones, unless they are different than the ones 10 you just mentioned?

A. The ones I mentioned about Amy, 12 which again -- Amy worked very closely with 13 Nick, so they worked primarily on the same 14 accounts together.

- Q. Was Cal housing Nick's client?
- Α.
- Q. Do you know how Mike Koessel 18 started ---

 A. Mike was involved in Cal housing 20 day to day. He was always involved in the 21 transactions I did out there on the derivative 22 side. He was the one I interacted with. 23 While I know Nick was involved, I don't think 24 I ever interacted with Nick on that account.

Was the amount of revenue

D. BROWNSTEIN

Page 132

future there might be a business model that would work. And to keep yourself prepared for that some time in the future, you wanted 6 someone who could be in communication with the major participants in the market about what

2 space, you had to decide some time in the

- 8 the market could do, how it could do it better 9 and who could take a thought leadership role 10 in doing that. That's where Mike came in.
- Q. You testified earlier that you 11 12 spoke to I believe you testified earlier that 13 you spoke to Nick Fluehr about Mike Koessel?
 - A. I did not say that.
- Q. How did you know that Nick Fluehr 15 16 was not a fan of Mike Koessel?
 - A. It was known.

14

17

18

19

21

23

- Q. How was it known?
 - A. Everybody knew that.
- 20 Q. How did you know it?
 - A. Nick was pretty vocal with
- 22 everybody, he wasn't a fan of Mike Koessel.
 - Q. Did you overhear Nick?
- A. No, but everybody told me that. 24
- 25 It was fairly you -- it was a pretty common



August 30, 2012 133–136

| AM | Y BARTOLETTI vs CITIGROUP INC. | | 133–136 |
|-----|---|----------|--|
| 1 | Page 133 | 1 | Page 135 D. BROWNSTEIN |
| 1 | D. BROWNSTEIN | 2 | A. No. |
| 2 | statement. | | |
| 3 | Q. Was it well known was Nick's | 3 | Q. If you knew Mr. Koessel was |
| 4 | thoughts about Amy well known? | 4 | interested in becoming head of the housing |
| 5 | A. Oh sure. Nick loved Amy. | 5 | group, would you have approached him over Amy? |
| 6 | Q. Do you know why that was? | 6 | A. No. |
| 7 | A. I would suggest it was because Amy | 7 | Q. Do you know who Frank Chin |
| 8 | did all of Nick's work for Nick. | 8 | preferred as head of the group? |
| 9 | Q. Do you know why Nick didn't like | 9 | MR. TURNBULL: Objection. |
| 10 | Mike? | 10 | A. I don't. |
| 11 | A. I don't. | 11 | Q. Did you ever talk to Frank Chin |
| 12 | Q. Did Mike do any work for Nick? | 12 | about having a sole head or a group head of |
| 13 | A. I don't know. | 13 | the housing group? |
| 14 | Q. Did he work on Cal housing for | 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Nick? | 15 | Q. Do you know what Frank's opinion |
| 16 | A. I don't know if they worked | 16 | was? |
| 17 | together on Cal housing or not. You have to | 17 | A. Well, again, there were loads of |
| | ask Mike. | 18 | stages along the way. Ultimately, Frank |
| 18 | | 19 | agreed that we should have them co-head the |
| 19 | Q. But it was Nick's client, right? | | • |
| 20 | A. Originally. | 20 21 | group so that we can try to retain the staff |
| 21 | Q. And when it was Nick's client or | | we have. |
| 22 | when Nick was the lead banker with Cal | 22 | Q. Is the only reason you named Mike |
| 23 | housing, did he have Mike do any work on it? | 23 | co-head is because he threatened to leave? |
| 24 | A. I don't know. Mike could tell you | 24 | A. I don't believe Mike threatened to |
| 25 | that if you want to ask him. | 25 | leave. |
| 1 | Page 134 D. BROWNSTEIN | 1 | Page 136 D. BROWNSTEIN |
| 1 2 | | 2 | |
| | Q. Was Amy ever nominated to become | 3 | Q. Is the only reason you named him co-head was because he was interested in |
| 3 | managing director? | | |
| 4 | A. I don't know. | 4 | becoming co-head? |
| 5 | Q. Do you know if Mr. Koessel was | 5 | A. The reason that we named them |
| 6 | ever nominated to become managing director? | 6 | co-heads were, from my perspective, was |
| 7 | A. I don't believe he was. | 7 | because we wanted to create as little turmoil |
| 8 | Q. Do you know why not? | 8 | as we could in trying to keep that group |
| 9 | A. The nomination would have come | 9 | together. |
| 10 | from Nick, and Nick never put him up. So I | 10 | |
| 11 | don't. | 11 | was interested in a leadership position you |
| 12 | Q. Could any managing director have | 12 | • • |
| 13 | nominated a director for a MD position? | 13 | • |
| 14 | A. In theory, yes. In practice in | 14 | • |
| 15 | our business it was the group heads who had to | 15 | |
| 16 | do the nominating. | 16 | , , , |
| 17 | Q. What's Mr. Koessel's current | 17 | |
| 18 | position? | 18 | • |
| 19 | A. He is director in the housing | 19 | have left? |
| 20 | group. | 20 | A. I don't know. But I didn't want |
| 21 | Q. Are you still managing the housing | 21 | to run that risk at that point. If you cut |
| 22 | | 22 | people, what you don't want to do is have the |
| 23 | A. Yes. | 23 | |

25

Q. Have you nominated him for an MD

24

position?

24 clearly you reduced your staff even further.

Q. Now after you selected Amy for

August 30, 2012 137–140

| AWIT DARTOLLTTI VS OTTIONOOT IIVO. | 101 1-10 |
|---|---|
| Page 137 1 D. BROWNSTEIN | Page 139 1 D. BROWNSTEIN |
| 2 layoff and Frank Chin approved it, did | 2 Q. You don't remember whether there |
| 3 Mr. Marsh have to approve the names on the | 3 was one or more |
| 4 list? | 4 A. I don't. |
| | 5 Q. You don't remember going back to |
| 5 MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the | |
| 6 form. | 6 the group heads and asking them for additional |
| 7 A. No. | 7 or different names? |
| 8 Q. Were the names just submitted to | 8 MR. TURNBULL: Objection. Asked |
| 9 Mr. Marsh? | 9 and answered. |
| MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the | 10 A. No. |
| 11 form. | 11 Q. When did you tell Mike that Amy |
| 12 A. I believe they would have been | 12 was going to be laid off? |
| 13 submitted to human resources. | 13 A. I don't know. |
| 14 Q. And did the list that was | 14 Q. Was it before she was notified? |
| 15 submitted that you believe was submitted to | 15 A. I don't know. |
| 16 HR, was it ever changed? | 16 Q. Did you consult with Mike |
| 17 A. I don't know. | 17 regarding who should be laid off in the |
| 18 Q. At what point did you decide not | 18 housing group? |
| 19 to eliminate the entire housing group? | 19 A. No. |
| 20 A. I don't have an exact timing. | 20 Q. Are you and Mike friends? |
| 21 Q. But eliminating the housing group | 21 A. I wouldn't call us friends, I |
| 22 was initially considered; is that correct? | 22 would call us friendly. |
| 23 A. Yes. | 23 Q. Are you friendly outside the |
| 24 Q. And did you take the comp of | 24 workplace? |
| 25 everyone in the housing group into | 25 A. We have done things together a |
| Page 138 | Page 140 |
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN |
| 2 consideration when determining whether you | 2 couple of times, not that often. |
| 3 could hit your number? | 3 Q. What have you guys done together? |
| 4 A. Correct. | 4 A. We've had dinner maybe five times. |
| 5 Q. And then after you decided or | 5 Once in my house with some other employees, |
| 6 after it was decided that the housing group | 6 and he came out and visited me on Long Island |
| 7 would not be eliminated, was there a shortfall | 7 one weekend. |
| 8 on meeting your number? | 8 Q. Do you know if Mike's salary |
| 9 MR. TURNBULL: Objection to the | 9 increased after Amy was fired? |
| 10 form. | 10 A. It did not. |
| 11 A. You are asking me to remember | 11 Q. Do you know if his base salary |
| 12 things, it's been too much time for me to | 12 increased after Amy was fired? |
| 13 remember. I really don't know. | 13 MR. TURNBULL: At any point after |
| 14 Q. Do you recall ever having to go | 14 she was fired. |
| 15 back to any of the group heads and asking them | 15 Q. Starting in 2009. |
| 16 to give additional names or different names? | 16 A. The only time I don't know. |
| 17 MR. TURNBULL: Objection. | 17 Q. Would you have been surprised if |
| 18 A. No. | 18 his base salary increased after Amy was laid |
| 19 Q. Are you aware just one round of | 19 off in 2009? |
| 20 names being given to you by group heads? | 20 MR. TURNBULL: Objection. |
| 21 A. I am not. | 21 A. If his base salary increased it |
| 22 Q. Could there have been more? | 22 wasn't his alone that increased, it was a |
| 23 A. Yes. | 23 firmwide change in salaries, not individuals. |
| 24 Q. You only remember | 24 Q. So after the fourth RIF, which was |
| 25 A I don't romember | 25 a compensation reduction. Citiaroup decided to |



I don't remember.

25 a compensation reduction, Citigroup decided to

DAVID BROWNSTEIN

August 30, 2012

| AMY BARTOLETTI vs CITIGROUP INC. | 145–148 |
|--|---|
| 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 people. I just remember the two of them. It 3 may have just been the two of them. It might 4 have been. 5 Q. Did you work with Nadine? 6 A. Nadine worked in the southeast 7 group. I knew Nadine, yes. 8 Q. Why did you invite her to your 9 house for dinner? 10 A. I tried to socialize as best I 11 could with everyone who I work with. It is 12 about building relationships, right? 13 Q. I am handing you a document that's 14 been marked previously marked as Plaintiff's 15 Exhibit 9. Take a look at this document and 16 let me know if you have seen it before. 17 A. I saw it yesterday, yes. 18 Q. Did you see it before yesterday? 19 A. I don't believe so. 20 Q. Do you recall seeing a document in 21 a similar format before yesterday? 22 A. I don't know. | 1 D. BROWNSTEIN 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Now why were Mike's relationships 4 with larger clients stronger than Amy's 5 relationships? 6 A. Again, it is not a function of 7 it is a function of who each client was 8 focused on or who each banker was focused on. 9 And so when we say "larger clients," what we 10 mean is clients whose expectation over time, 11 like Texas, because of the population in 12 Texas. I believe Texas is the third most 13 populus state in the U.S., would potentially 14 be the larger issuer of debt. 15 So if you compare Texas to South 16 Dakota with a very small population, the 17 issuance will be driven by the needs of the 18 public in that location. So that's why what 19 we're saying, when you look at the locations 20 that Mike does business in, those locations 21 over time, my expectation would be larger 22 issuers in the municipal market. |
| 23 Q. If I could direct your attention 24 to page 3. | Q. But that has to do with revenue generation, correct? |
| 25 A. Yes. | 25 A. Absolutely. |
| Page 146 D. BROWNSTEIN Q. Third paragraph. The second sentence. A. Ahem. Q. It reads: "In assessing whose selection" A. I am sorry, I am in the wrong place. MR. TURNBULL: I think you are right. A. Oh, the second sentence. | D. BROWNSTEIN Q. I thought at that point in time you weren't focused on generating revenue? A. Well, what I said is I told Mike your first and foremost responsibility is to be a thought leader in the market. And what that will do is lead to other things like revenues, because clients will come to you because they will want to talk to you about what to do. The driver won't be you going out there and soliciting business, because you |
| 12 Q. The second sentence. It reads: | 12 won't have to the time to and you won't have |

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"In assessing whose selection would have the least impact on the business, Brownstein noted that Koessel had stronger relationships with larger clients, had been making forward thinking efforts to try to creatively in vigor the group's business during the financial crisis and had more experience in the housing piece of the housing group's business."

Do you see that?

24 Ahem.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Is that all true?

16 with on their own. So ultimately while near term the driver wasn't revenues, ultimately if we were going to remain in this business at all, long term, it had to be about revenues.

13 the staff to. But clients often come to those

15 solutions, they otherwise couldn't come up

14 who have the knowledge, who can give them

Q. Now South Dakota was one of the housing groups biggest clients, wasn't it? MR. TURNBULL: Objection.

A. They were a -- the banker to South 25 Dakota, but when you say biggest clients, if

